

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2117. School of Nursing.”.

SEC. 956. INCLUSION OF COMMANDERS OF WESTERN HEMISPHERE COMBATANT COMMANDS IN BOARD OF VISITORS OF WESTERN HEMISPHERE INSTITUTE FOR SECURITY COOPERATION.

Subparagraph (F) of section 2166(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(F) The commanders of the combatant commands having geographic responsibility for the Western Hemisphere, or the designees of those officers.”.

SEC. 957. COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF REORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY.

(a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—Not later than June 1, 2008, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an assessment of the most recent reorganization of the office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, including an assessment with respect to the matters set forth in subsection (b).

(b) MATTERS TO BE ASSESSED.—The matters to be included in the assessment required by subsection (a) are as follows:

(1) The manner in which the reorganization of the office furthers, or will further, its stated purposes in the short-term and long-term, including the manner in which the reorganization enhances, or will enhance, the ability of the Department of Defense—

(A) to address current security priorities, including on-going military operations in Iraq, Afghanistan, and elsewhere;

(B) to manage geopolitical defense relationships; and

(C) to anticipate future strategic shifts in those relationships.

(2) The manner in which and the extent to which the reorganization adheres to generally accepted principles of effective organization, such as establishing clear goals, identifying clear lines of authority and accountability, and developing an effective human capital strategy.

(3) The extent to which the Department has developed detailed implementation plans for the reorganization, and the current status of the implementation of all aspects of the reorganization.

(4) The extent to which the Department has worked to mitigate congressional concerns and address other challenges that have arisen since the reorganization was announced.

(5) The manner in which the Department plans to evaluate progress in achieving the stated goals of the reorganization and what measurements, if any, the Department has established to assess the results of the reorganization.

(6) The impact of the large increase in responsibilities for the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict and Interdependent Capabilities